

- Schlesinger, Philip (1978) Putting Reality Together: BBC News, Constable, London.
- Smith, Roger (1976) 'Sex and Occupational Role on Fleet Street', In D. Barker and S. Allen (Eds.) Dependence and Exploitation in Work and Marriage, Longman.
- Tuchman, Gaye, A. Daniels and D. Benet (1978) Hearth and Home, Images of Women in the Mass Media, Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- U.S. Commission on Civil Rights. 1977. Window Dressing on the Set. Women and Minorities in Television.
- Weibel, Kathryn (1977) Mirror, Mirror, Anchor, New York.
- Women's Studies Group, Centre for Contemporary Cultural Studies. Birmingham University, 1978, Women Take Issue, Hutchinson, London.
- Woolf, Virginia (1945) A Room of One's Own, Penguin, London.

Helen Baehr, Polytechnics of Central London, U.K.

Copyright © 1980. By Helen Baehr. All rights reserved. This article was originally published in: Spender (Eds.) Men's Studies Modified: The Impact of Feminism on the Academic Disciplines. Pergamon Press, 1981.

Feminist Criticism in Television Studies

By E. Ann Kaplan*

Danish Summary: På baggrund af en kort oversigt over TV-forskningen i USA og England og over udviklingen i den feministiske teori beskriver artiklen udviklingen i den feministiske TV-forskning som et forløb i fire faser: Borgerlig, marxistisk, radikal og poststrukturalistisk feminisme. De tre første beskrives som hovedsagelig byggende på en essentialistisk feministisk filosofi, hvor det kvindelige betragtes som noget essentielt anderledes end det mandlige, med rod i naturen og mere humant og moralsk, med en implicit kritik af det mandlige, konkurrencementalitet og individualisme. Den antiessentialistiske filosofi ser det kvindelige som en side af den patriarkalske orden og beskæftiger sig med hvordan kønsidentiteten, specielt den kvindelige subjektivitet, dannes.

Artiklen gennemgår de fire faser med eksempler fra især forskningen omkring soap-opera, og understreger at faserne ikke skal opfattes som historisk tilbagelagte faser, men at de stadigvæk findes og har deres berettigelse. I forbindelse med den sidste fase, den poststrukturalistiske, udstikker Kaplan i forlængelse af sit eget forskningsprojekt omkring musikvideoer retningslinjer for fremtidens feministiske medieforskning.

*) This paper is a reduced version of a chapter from *Channels of Discourse: Television and Contemporary Criticism*, edited by Robert Allen which will be published in 1987 by The University of North Carolina Press. It appears here by permission of the publisher, by whom all rights are reserved.

Den borgerlige feministiske TV-forskning beskæftiger sig typisk med at undersøge repræsentationen af kvinder i TV-programmerne sammenlignet med repræsentationen af kvinder i det virkelige liv. Styrken ved denne form for forskning er, at den dokumenterer, hvilke roller TV-serierne indeholder, at den kan dokumentere forandringer og at den kan være repræsentativ. Den er nyttig i politiske forhandlinger og som baggrund for aktioner. Den kan bruges som baggrund for at stille borgerlige feministiske krav. Faren er, at den implicit opstiller et positivt kvinde-ideal som modsætning til alle de "negative" billeder, den selvbekræftende, succesfulde, stærke kvinde, jf. kravet om positive heltinder. Denne metode siger ikke noget om, hvordan og hvorfor alle de "negative" billeder bliver skabt. Kvinderrollerne analyseres, som om de er levende kvinder og ikke figurer i en fiktion, som om medierne umiddelbart reflekterer virkeligheden.

Med en terminologi hentet fra Julia Kristeva beskriver artiklen forskellen mellem den første kategori og den anden, den marxistiske feministiske forskning, ved at mens den første kræver adgang for kvinderne til den patriarkalske symbolske orden, så vil den anden undersøge hvad der sker kvinder i den patriarkalske orden. I den anden fase ses kvinderrollerne også som afspejling af virkeligheden, men i modsætning til den borgerlige feminisme undersøges her årsagen til kvindebilledernes opståen: TV er en del af den økonomiske magtstruktur og fremstiller derfor de kvindebilleder, som det kapitalistiske samfund har brug for: Husmoderen som ulønnet producerer merværdi, kvinder som arbejdere, når der er brug for arbejdskraften.

Den radikale feministiske TV-kritik kritiserer, at populærkulturen ikke kan beskrive kvinders forhold til hinanden positivt, at ægteskabet og heteroseksualiteten idealiseres. Også denne retning indebærer en afvisning af den mandlige symbolske orden og en dyrkelse af det essentielt kvindelige.

Den poststrukturalistiske feministiske TV-forskning afviser modsætningen mellem det mandlige og det kvindelige som metafysik,

forsøger at overskride modsætningen eller i det mindste at erkende denne som en kulturel konstruktion.

Der bygges på den feministiske filmteori, Mulvey og Johnston, der hævder at Hollywoodfilmen er konstrueret så den svarer til det mandlige ubevidste og at blikket på kvinden er voyeristisk og fetishistisk. Kaplan gennemgår forskellige eksempler på feministisk forskning i "kvindegenren" soap-opera, hvor fortællingen og identifikationen ses som forskellig fra Hollywoodfilmen. Men det væsentlige spørgsmål er, hvordan disse teorier skal videreudvikles, så de kan anvendes på TV? Appelerer TV også til det mandlige blik, eller er blikket og udsigelsen og den konkrete oplevelsessituation fundamentalt anderledes i TV? TV henvender sig til tilskueren på en anden måde end film: Han sidder ikke i et mørkt rum, hvor han kan koncentrere sig om et samlet forløb i to timer, men i et rum, hvor der er meget andet at beskæftige sig med, ser på en lille skærm, hvor programmerne hele tiden skifter, og hvor der er mulighed for selv at skifte. TV er under alle omstændigheder ikke så monolitisk som Hollywoodfilmen, der er mange forskellige blikke og identifikationsmuligheder.

Målet for fremtidens feministiske medieforskning er at undersøge, hvordan TV som "apparat" henvender sig til den kvindelige seer, og hvordan de enkelte genrer fremstiller det "kvindelige". Som eksempel analyseres Madonnas video "Material girl": Videoen placeres som postmodernistisk med Madonna som dens postfeministiske heltinde: Den er typisk for videoer med kvindelig hovedperson og karakteristisk for TV-mediet. Det er karakteristisk, at den refererer til en klassisk Hollywoodfilm, nemlig "Gentlemen prefer blondes" og spiller på dennes konventioner. Hvor rummet og fortælleren er klare størrelser i filmen, og Monroe et typisk eksempel på kvinden som objekt for det mandlige blik, så er disse ting udviskede i videoen. Det er uklart, om det er den mandlige voyeur eller Madonna i en af de tre roller: som sig selv, som skuespiller eller som performer, der er bærer

af blikket. Videoen fremstiller Madonna som objekt for det mandlige blik, uden at denne funktion parodieres, men snarere som pastiche, med terminologi hentet fra postmodernismeteorikeren Jameson, uden at der tages stilling hertil. Samtidig bryder Madonna som person gennem rollerne. Hun er voldsom, aktiv og skamløst forførende og meget anderledes end det passive objekt for det mandlige begær. Hun nyder at være obejkt. De unge piger kan bruge hende som identifikationsfigur i oprøret mod den traditionelle passive kvinderolle, men er alligevel stadig bundet til en optagethed af udseendet, som de bruger tid og penge på.

I "Material girl" er fortællingen og den traditionelle kønsforskel nedbrudt i overensstemmelse med den måde TV fungerer på: TV, især MTV (den amerikanske kanal, der viser musikvideoer 24 timer i døgnet) anbringer tilskueren i en tilstand af evig forventning om opfyldelsen af begæret og opfordrer derved til konstant forbrug. Der er ikke mulighed for en regression til den ødipale fase som i Hollywoodfilmen, men snarere en leg med de ødipale positioner eller focus på afgrænsede faser i ødipuskomplekset. Der er mulighed for flere forskellige subjektpositioner, og ikke udelukkende appel til det mandlige ubevidste. TV er mere flertydigt.

Hvad betyder så dette set fra et feministisk synspunkt? Feminismen har været baseret på liberale eller venstrehumanistiske synspunkter, men TV fremmer nu en anden bevidsthedstilstand, kommunikationssamfundets. Måske er kønnet ikke mere den organiserede faktor, som i det gamle samfund, men dette behøver ikke nødvendigvis at være progressivt.

Hvis der er ved at ske ændringer i forholdet mellem jeg'et og billedet, noget som bl.a. udtrykkes af og styrkes gennem TV-mediet, så må den feministiske kritik forholde sig hertil. Det postmoderne univers er uden grænser og referencerammer, uendelig overflade, billede. For kvinder, som har været vant til at se sig selv reduceret til billede, er det derfor meget vigtigt at studere hvad TV betyder for kvinder.

* * *

The two parts of my title, "Feminist" and "Television Studies", require brief discussion, individually, before linking them together. I need to say something about the contexts in which Television Studies arose in order to account for the historical paucity of feminist approaches; and since "Feminism" does not have a single meaning, I need to discuss the ways in which I define the term.

I will be mainly concerned with Television Studies in America, but the contrast with England is important in illuminating, through differences, what happened in Television Studies in the USA. In America, Television Studies has suffered from having had even more difficulty than film in being accepted as an academic subject. The one way that film finally obtained such acceptance, i.e. through its claims to be "art", was impossible for Television since no one was willing to make that argument for its fare. Thus, while film was able to find a place in various humanities departments in the '60'es, Television was forced to focus mainly on production. It was housed in Schools of Journalism and Communication, themselves heavily embedded in social science methodologies of the quantifying and positivist type.

Several scholars have discussed the limitation of these methodologies. (1) According to David Morley, they arose partly in reaction against the Marxist sociological perspective of the German Frankfurt School, who relied on "social theory and qualitative and philosophical analysis". (2)

In Britain Television study took a different tack because it was not developed in Schools of Communication but rather through organizations like The British Film Institute and places of higher education other than the University, such as the Art Colleges, Further Education Colleges and Polytechnic Institutes. British intellectuals outside of the University, then, originally

developed methods for studying and criticising television not that dissimilar from those used in early cultural and film studies.

However, neither in America nor in Britain was there much feminist work throughout the 70'es (a paper by Richard Dyer, Terry Lovell and Jean McCrindle on "Soap Operas and Women" at the 1977 Edinburgh International Television Program was a rare exception). I analyze the reasons for this elsewhere (3) (and readers are also referred to Helen Baehr's article in this volume), but things did begin to change in the early 80'es, when a significant number of women finally began to work on female representation in television. An article by Stephen Heath and Gillian Skirrow, published in Screen in 1977 but not much followed up at the time, was there as a model for certain kinds of work; this article, about the British program World in Action, was one of the first to apply 70'es British theoretical approaches worked out for the classical Hollywood film to television. A model for any future close-reading analysis, the essay tried to focus on "the fact of television itself", and on "the ideological operations developed in that fact", (4) rather than on discussion of any particular (political) positions that the "content" may take up. Meanwhile, various Graduate Programs in film, such as that at UCLA, began to turn their attention to critical analysis of Television, and Graduate Students produced some of the first interesting work (5). People like Tania Modleski interested in women's popular fiction also began to apply their critical approaches to related kinds of popular TV women's programs, like Soaps.

But before discussing this work, let me turn briefly to the second term in need of clarification, namely "feminist": in the areas of literature and film, the word "feminist" had come, by the 1980'es to mean a variety of things. I will briefly detail these meanings, since understanding the different kinds of feminist work in allied humanities fields will both explain some of the work that scholars have been doing, and suggest future

work that we need to begin doing, in television. We knew enough by the 80'es to see that the word "feminist" had come to mean different things to different women, depending on what their theory of feminism was. Since feminism is by definition a political and philosophical term, let me list the most obvious kinds of feminism as they have been developed under these categories. I want to emphasize before I start, however, that I am not intending here to set up a hierarchy such that any of the types are meant as "higher" or "superior" to others. There is a rough chronological sequence to the types listed, but historically later methods are not to be viewed as necessarily replacing earlier ones. Certain developments naturally produce challenges to what went before (they of course only arise because of earlier work) but it seems to me that this is a challenge that can be answered. A second caveat has to do with the inevitably archetypal nature of any categorizing such as that which follows: very rarely will we find any piece of feminist criticism offering a pure illustration of a particular category: many pieces I will discuss combine types or do not fit neatly into any one type. The categories are merely useful as a charting of the terrain for purposes of clarification and illustration. All of this will be more clear after delineating the types.

Thus, thinking politically one might isolate bourgeois feminism (roughly, women's concern to obtain equal rights and freedoms within a capitalist system); b) Marxist-feminism (roughly the linking of specific female oppressions to the larger structure of capitalism and to oppressions of other groups: gays, minorities, the working classes, etc.); c) Radical feminism (roughly the designation of women as different from men, and the desire to establish separate female communities to forward women's specific needs and desires); d) Post-structuralist feminism (roughly the idea that we need to analyze the language order through which we learn to be what our culture calls "women" (as distinct from a group called "men") as we attempt to bring about change beneficial to women). (6) By reviewing briefly examples of feminist work on television, I will show that scholars may

be seen to develop a critical method according to their political definition of feminism.

But first a word about the philosophical definition of feminism. There are two main philosophical positions labelled "essentialist" and "anti-essentialist" which have been much discussed in American scholarship recently. (7) While the distinction is problematic, it makes discussion simpler. That is, one could view all the first three political definitions of "feminist" as falling under the category of "essentialist" feminism, while the fourth reflects "anti-essentialist" feminism. This is not to deny that there are crucial differences among the first three types: I will be pointing these out in the course of my review. But the broader categories set up a more general and abstract distinction between the kinds of television criticism produced by scholars taking on one or the other of the philosophical definitions.

Essentialist feminism assumes a basic "truth" about woman that patriarchal society has kept hidden. It assumes that there is a particular group "women" who can be separated from another group "men", in terms of an essence that precedes or is outside of culture and that ultimately has to have biological origins. The essential aspects of woman, repressed in patriarchy, are often assumed to embody a more humane, moral mode of being, which, once brought to light, could help change society in beneficial directions. Female values become a means for critiquing the harsh, competitive and individualistic "male" values that govern society, and offer an alternate way, not only of seeing but of being, that threatens patriarchy. Just because of the essential humaneness of female values, these feminists believe that they should be resurrected, celebrated, revitalized. Marxist-feminists would in addition focus on the way social structures and the profit-motive have prevented humane female values from becoming dominant, while Radical feminists would emphasize how silencing of the female voice results from male domination, forced heterosexuality, the insistence on the

bourgeois, nuclear family, etc. Liberal essentialist feminists remain largely reformist, and are content merely to assert women's right to whatever our society has to offer them.

Anti-essentialist feminists view things rather differently, although the philosophical approaches are not necessarily as incompatible as they might at first seem. These theorists are concerned to understand the processes through which female subjectivity is constituted in patriarchal culture, and they do not find an "essential" feminine behind the socially constructed subject. The "feminine" now is not something outside of, or untouched by, patriarchy, but integral to it. Theorists are concerned with the links between a given sex-identity and the patriarchal order, analyzing the processes through which sexuality and subjectivity are constructed at the same time. We are all agreed by now that we can change sex-roles—many Western societies are catching up with the Eastern block in enacting such changes. But anti-essentialists argue that for such changes to take a firm hold, to have any more than a merely local, fashionable and temporary change, we have to understand more about how we arrive at sex-identity in the first place. (The fact that sexism remains a problem in Eastern and other Communist countries attests to the fact that social changes are not sufficient in and of themselves). If the goal is to get beyond the social constructed definitions of "man"/"woman" or "masculine"/"feminine", then, anti-essentialists argue, we need to know precisely how those social constructions are inscribed in the processes of becoming "human"; and this inevitably entails moving into the psychoanalytic terrain.

Now it is significant that between 1963 and about 1980, American feminists thought mainly in essentialist terms and in most disciplines (except film studies) produced work within the frame of the first three political positions. With the recent influence of French Feminisms, scholars have begun to use the psychoanalytic/semiotic/post-structuralist theories underlying continental work. These permit us to look at the various kinds

of feminisms a bit differently: Kristeva's account of the three broad stages of feminism, seen in a loose historical manner, is useful in providing a scheme for distinguishing types of feminist criticism which combines the political and philosophical categories outlined above (8). However, the word "stage" is perhaps misleading and better replaced by "type"; for while there is a broad developmental aspect to the account of critical methods—a particular kind, as I noted, may be seen as arising out of questions not answered by a previous approach—it is also true that a new approach does not invalidate or eliminate earlier ones. In fact, in the 80'es we can find examples of most types of feminist criticism still being produced alongside each other, where they have not been incorporated into methods that combine earlier approaches with new ones. The process is synthetic or dialectical rather than negatory.

In what follows, I will attempt to provide examples of each sort of criticism that is produced by the various Kristevian types; as far as possible, I will use Soap Opera studies to illustrate each method, so as to highlight similarities and differences.

Kristeva's analysis relies on Lacan's theories of the way the subject is constructed in a patriarchal language order (that Lacan calls "the Symbolic") and in which woman is normally relegated to the position of absence, or lack. Because Lacan's distinction between the Imaginary and the Symbolic is central to the different kinds of feminism being discussed here, let me dwell on the theory for a moment. For Lacan, the Imaginary proper lacks gender specificity or rather, it brings both genders into the feminine through the illusory sense of being merged with the mother. What Lacan calls the "mirror-phase" (the moment when the child first sets up a relationship to its image in the mirror) marks the awareness of the illusoriness of oneness with the mother. The child, that is, begins to be aware of the mother as an object distinct from itself (the mirror contains an image of the mother holding the child); it also

recognizes its "mirror" self (which Lacan calls an Ideal Imago) as an entity distinct from itself. The subject is thus constituted as a split subject (i.e. both mother and non-mother; this side of the mirror and within the mirror). It is important that the Ideal Ego constructed during this mirror-phase is not entirely on the side of the Imaginary in that the child introjects the image of the mother as another image; it begins to symbolize thus its own look as that of the Other, and to set in motion the desire for the mother (displaced as we'll see into a desire for what she desires) that will persist through its life.

This symbolization of the mother as the Other is for Lacan a universal experience and one that is essential for the human-to-be to in fact become human. The individuation that the level of symbolizing involves is a necessary development; the mother-child dyad must be interrupted by the language order if the child is not to remain down in the level of the Imaginary. The mirror-phase thus prepares the child for its subsequent entry into the realm of the Symbolic (be which Lacan means the language and other signifying and representational systems, such as images, gestures, sound, etc.), in which the child takes up its position as a "sexed" being (it recognizes various subject positions such as "he", "she", "you", "it"). Because signifying systems are organized around the phallus as the prime signifier, for Lacan the woman occupies the place of lack or absence. The boy and girl child, thus, find themselves in vastly different positions vis a vis the dominant order, once they enter the realm of the Symbolic.

The problem for the girl is in being positioned so as to identify with the mother, which means desiring what the mother desires, namely the phallus. This desire has nothing to do with anything essential or biological about the girl but everything to do with the way that the Symbolic is organized. Lacan's system, particularly as used here by Kristeva, frees us from the tyranny of the biological. It also enables us to see what cer-

tain stages of feminism conceived of as due to "nature", as in fact socially constructed.

Kristeva's first stage of feminism is that in which women demand equal access to the (patriarchal) symbolic order, desiring equality rather than subjugation. This has two possible results: the first is what we have called "domestic" feminism (and that is largely characteristic of the nineteenth century, as noted) in which women valorized the patriarchally constructed "feminine".

However, they were likely to see this "feminine" as "natural", and they celebrated the qualities assigned women as morally higher or better than the male values of competition and aggressive individualism. The second is what above I called "liberal" feminism, more characteristic of our own recent movement, in which women strive for equality with men in the public work sphere. Women, that is demand equal access to jobs and also to institutional power (of whatever kind), equal pay for equal work, equal benefits as men across the board, and also changes in family routines to accomodate their right to demanding careers.

This first stage of feminism leads to a type of television criticism heavily dependent on content analysis. TV programs are analyzed in terms of the kinds of female roles represented, and the method used is that of "counting" the number of occurrences of specific "roles". Theoretically, study could also be made of the degree to which dramas reflect recent changes in the status of women, their movement out of the home and into the work sphere, the characteristics working women are shown to have, the quality of family life and the involvement (or not) of men in domestic chores.

A recent example of work produced out of this type of feminism is Diana Meehan's Ladies of the Evening: Women Characters of Prime-Time Television, (9) combining the quantitative, interpre-

tive and comparative approaches.

The heart of Meehan's book is her ambitious attempt not only to isolate and study occurrences of a whole series of female roles (the imp, the goodwife, the harpy, the bitch, the victim, the decoy, the siren, the courtesan, the witch, the matriarch), but also to show the changes in each image from 1950 to 1980. Her conclusions are too numerous to detail here (readers are referred to chapter 13 in her book), but one main conclusion was that (the composite impression of the good-bad images was a forceful endorsement of a secondary position for women, a place in the world as selfless, devoted adjuncts to men". (p. 113).

This kind of feminist criticism is important in documenting what we have come to understand as a prevalent way of imaging women in popular culture, but unfortunately it does not tell us much about either how these images are produced (a study which might help us understand their continuity (with small changes) over a thirty-year period) or about exactly how these images mean, how they "speak" to the female viewer. We are left with a vague notion of "positive" as against "negative" images of women, and of a standard-the autonomous, self-fulfilling, self-assertive, socially and financially "successful" woman - against which the images are judged to be either "positive" or "negative". It was against just such a way of reading images of women in film that work by Mulvey, Johnston and others referred to earlier was undertaken.

Meehan's model has serious problems first because it represents the human consciousness as a tabula rasa upon which TV images work. Images are seen as models that viewers imitate because they are "read" by them as "real" people. Second, it is assumed that this process of imitation is analagous to that which takes place in the family where the child models its personality on that of its parents. What this obviously leaves out is, first, that fictive characters are not real people, and that

therefore viewers are forced to take a very different position toward them; and second, that the processes through which children "identify" with significant adults in their lives is enormously complicated, and involves (as we saw in discussing Lacan) the unconscious and the language order in which children are placed. The viewer exists in a dynamic relationship both to other people and to the screen image, bringing an already complex unconscious to reception, and in a certain sense being "constructed" as a subject in the processes of reception.

It is clear that, within Kristeva's Lacanian paradigm, such works shows women demanding equal access to the (patriarchal) Symbolic. The "stories" Meehan says it is time "to tell" envision precisely a society in which women are incorporated into the masculine public sphere as "heading families, heading corporations...." etc. Women, that is, are to be seen as no different than men, but this really means that women are "to become men". The position fails to take into account how this means woman's complete surrender to the patriarchy and its values, norms, ways of being. As will be clearer later on, it implies woman replacing being defined via the phallus, with her identification with the phallus; while this may be important as a transitional phase, it should not be seen as an end in itself.

Before moving on to Kristeva's second stage of feminism, it is necessary to insert a category, Marxist-Feminism, not explicitly mentioned by her, perhaps because she did not consider it sufficiently different from the stage just discussed or because it was not specific enough to feminism per se. However, in the America of the early 70'es, this was an approach developed by some women in media and literary studies.

Marxist-Feminist critics construct Television as a critical object in relation to the larger economic and industrial power networks in which it is embedded. Relying on the long tradition of Marxist criticism, feminists who are also Marxists look at

how Television's status, as an explicitly capitalist institution, affects the images of women portrayed. Researchers here stress the production of the woman-viewer as a consumer, which emerges from television's need as a commercial, profitmaking institution to sell objects along with providing entertainment. But television's reliance on constructing numbers of viewers as commodities involves reproducing female images that accommodate prevailing (and dominant) conceptions of "woman", particularly as these satisfy certain economic needs.

Marxist-feminists, then, are interested in how women as a group are manipulated by larger economic and political concerns outside of their control; thus, narratives may be seen to construct images of the working-woman, if society needs women in the work force; or, alternatively, to represent woman as content to be a housewife, when that is economically beneficial. The approach involves content analysis not that dissimilar from the previous "liberal" or "reformist" feminism, but the ends are different since the discussion, unlike the other, always takes place within the context of television as a profit-making, capitalist concern. (10)

Lillian Robinson's "What's My Line? Telefiction and Women's Work" is an excellent example of this Marxist-feminist approach to television. (11) Written in 1976, before there was much feminist work on television at all, it deals with the contrast between the image of working women on television serials (including Soaps) and the actual situation of women as workers in society.

Unlike Meehan, however, Robinson accounts for these distortions in terms of television as "a branch of something called the entertainment industry", which, Robinson says, "implies something (that) is manufactured here, mass-produced by alienated labor for the consumers who constitute its mass audience". (p. 313) However, Robinson refuses to fall into Meehan's trap of conceiving her audience as completely vulnerable to the

images provided, arguing rather that women do not necessarily accept what they are shown, the images being merely "one of the factors that influence the consciousness of women", (p. 313) but do not provide the whole story.

Robinson proceeds to contrast the statistics relating to women's work in society to TV images of working women. She finds that, despite the trend away from family-based situations in comedy and drama, "the probability of a TV-woman's being employed is about half what it would be for her real-life counterpart". In addition, "Motherhood almost always means leaving the work force, which is not too surprising, but marriage itself tends to have the same result..." (p. 315). Robinson proceeds to give examples from Soaps like Days of Our Lives, All in the Family and One Day At A Time to prove her points, before going on to show, in addition to the distortion in the proportion of women working on TV, there is a large difference between the kinds of work women are seen to do on TV and the work they do in real life.

Robinson's essay has many of the same advantages and limitations as Meehan's, but it differs in not demanding equal access to the patriarchal Symbolic. Robinson rather attempts (although she would not use this language) to show how that very Symbolic exploits and manipulates women workers and further constructs images that either belittle women's work or warn women of the deleterious effects of aiming too high. The concern here is with exposing the goings on in what I would call the patriarchal symbolic rather than with arguing for woman's access to it. But like Meehan, Robinson assumes an essentialist notion that women can resist their exploitation-that they are not socially constructed through the processes of their positioning.

Kristeva's second stage of feminism is that in which women reject the male symbolic order in the name of difference, resulting in Radical Feminism. Here, femininity is not only cele-

brated but also seen as better and essentially different. Focus is on women-identified women, on a striving for autonomy and wholeness through communities of women or at least through intense relating to other women. Radical Feminist criticism might be concerned with the images of family life as the solution for all ills, with the forced heterosexual coupling in most popular narratives, or with the discrepancies between images of marriage in popular culture and in real life. The failure of popular culture to address women's positive relating to one another and the portrayal of men as "naturally" dominant may also be issues.

Carol Aschur's pioneering essay "Daytime Television: You'll Never Want to Leave Home", written in 1976 (under the name "Lopate"), has elements of this position (12). Among other things, Aschur suggests that Soap Opera families "portray the idealized lives of families economically headed by professional men", while most women are housewives. Even when they work, they are rarely seen on the job. What Aschur most objects to is first, the way that the family is set up as central: people, she says, are never allowed to leave the family or to "be alone long enough to develop a real self and thus have a personality that can be known" (p. 79); and second that Soap Operas do not reveal "the nonbenign aspect of the power that men hold over women". (p. 81) Soaps misrepresent "real life" in portraying men, like the M. C. in the Game Shows, as "having the capacity to assist, protect, and give, without retaining the power to dominate that most men potentially have over most women. No soap opera father is a disciplinarian; no husband a wife beater" (p. 81). Aschur concludes that there is more equality between women and men in soaps than in real life or any other dramatic form; and that soaps ultimately function to promise the housewife, confined to her home, that "the life she is in can fulfil her needs". What soaps repress is both her actual loneliness and isolation, and also that it might be precisely through her solitude, "that she has the possibility for gaining a self" (p. 81).

In accord with stage two feminism, Aschur implies a need for women to reject the male symbolic order, although, again, she does not use this terminology. That order, as revealed in popular TV shows addressing women, is seen to exploit and infantilize women on the one hand, and to idealize the (in fact oppressive) patriarchal family on the other. The implication is that women can, and should, reject such debasing images, and indeed, such degrading life scenes, in order to find themselves. Autonomy, independence from men and bonding with other women are suggested, parenthetically, as both possible and desirable. We see here Aschur's essentialism, but it is important that she arrives at very different conclusions than does Meehan, and differs also from Robinson in suggesting individual rather than social alternatives.

Kristeva's last stage that in which women reject the dichotomy between masculine and feminine as metaphysical, and aim at transcendence of the categories of sexual difference or at least at recognition of their cultural construction, is only possible in the wake of the great 20th century modernist movements and of the postmodernist theories that followed upon those movements. In this stage, scholars analyze the symbolic systems including the filmic and televisual apparatuses through which we communicate and organize our lives so as to understand how it is that we learn to be what our culture calls "women" as against what are called "men".

This poststructuralist feminism then is often antiessentialist in contrast to the essentialism of the previous three types discussed, although as we'll see, some of the work combines essentialist and anti-essentialist assumptions. Critics with this philosophical orientation found resonances in the work of Laura Mulvey and Claire Johnston, central to the formulation of the feminist anti-essentialist theory in film studies (13). These authors were themselves influenced by European theories (from Russian Formalism, to Benjamin and Berthold Brecht in Germany, to (in France), Roland Barthes, Althusser, Foucault, Lacan, Kristeva and Derrida). Most important for our discussion

here is Mulvey's crucial, and by now much discussed, essay on "Visual Pleasure and Narrative Cinema", written in 1975. Mulvey's interest in the commercial Hollywood film as embodying the patriarchal unconscious provoked new interest by women in dominant popular forms. Her analysis involved Hollywood's apparent inscription of the male, as against any possible "female" unconscious. Relying on Freud's twin mechanisms of voyeurism and fetishism, Mulvey showed that the dominant Hollywood Cinema is built on a series of three basic "looks", all of which satisfy desire in the male unconscious. There is first the look of the camera in the filming situation (called the pro-filmic event); while technically neutral, this look is inherently voyeuristic and usually "male" in the sense that a man is generally doing the filming; second, there is the look of the male figures within the film narrative, and these are organized through shot-counter-shot so as to make the woman object of their gaze; and finally, there is the look of the spectator, which imitates (or is necessarily in the same position as) the first two looks. That is, the spectator is forced to identify with the look of the camera, to see as it sees.

Voyeurism and fetishism are mechanisms that the Hollywood Cinema uses to construct the (presumably male) spectator in accordance with the needs of his unconscious. Voyeurism is linked to the scopophilic instinct (i.e. the male pleasure in his own organ transferred to pleasure in watching other people have sex). Mulvey argues that cinema relies on this instinct, making the spectator essentially a voyeur. If the spectator is a woman, Mulvey originally argued that she had to assume the male position.

Most critics are agreed that the Hollywood cinema lays out for our contemplation and analysis unconscious processes difficult to gain access to outside of the psychoanalytic session. These theories lead to a very different set of concerns on the part of feminist scholars employing them than those undertaken by previous essentialist feminists. Following Mulvey, feminist

film critics became interested in what she had theorized as an exclusively "male" gaze, discussing what possible "female" gaze there might be; people soon realized that the theory applied mainly to the central male genres - the Western, The Gangster and the Adventure and War films. Women turned to the one film genre that specifically addresses the female spectator - the melodrama, and issues relating to this genre and women viewers are still being actively pursued (14). Women began to think about the text-spectator relationship, about how exactly the actual (historical) female viewer (or subject) sitting in the cinema is related to the screen images passing in front of her. Some of this work took a direction similar to "Reader-Response Criticism", (15) and contains some sociological aspects. That is, some work still assumes an interaction between two given entities - the text on the one hand; the reader on the other; while other more psychoanalytically oriented approaches assume that the reading-subject is created (or constructed) in the very act of reading - that there is no reader outside of the text, and no text, for that matter, outside of the reader.

Some of the most interesting new feminist work on television written by people with humanities backgrounds has begun to apply methods developed for the Hollywood film, at least in the sense of asking some of the same kinds of question of the televisual, as previously of the filmic, apparatus. What this means is that instead of trying to study sex-roles within certain television programs, these studies examine the entire way that television functions, what kind of apparatus it is. By this I mean the complex of elements from the machine itself, its technological features (the way it produces and presents images); to its various "texts", including ads, commentaries, displays; to the central relationship of programming to the sponsors, whose own texts, the ads, are arguably the real TV texts (see Flitterman below); to the now various sites of reception from the living room to the bathroom. Scholars may focus on problems of enunciation, that is of who speaks a text, and to whom it is addressed; or look at the manner in which we

watch TV, its presence in the home, the so-called "flow" of the programs, the fragmentation of the viewing experience even within any one given program, the unusual phenomenon of endlessly serialized programs; or they may study the ideology embedded in the forms of production and reception, which are not "neutral" or "accidental", but a crucial result of television's overarching commercial framework.

One of the still unresolved issues that nearly every article has to address (whether explicitly or implicitly) is that of the degree to which film theories can apply to the very different "televisual" apparatus. Since feminist film theory evolved in relation to the Classical Hollywood Cinema, it is particularly important for women approaching television to consider how far that theory is relevant to the different apparatus that television is; for example, how far do issues relating to the "male gaze" apply to watching television, when usually there is no darkened room, where there is a small screen, and where viewing is often interrupted by commercials, people coming in, or by the viewer switching channels? To what extent is the television spectator addressed in the same manner as the film spectator? Do the same kind of psychoanalytic processes of subject construction apply? Will semiotics aid in illuminating the processes at work? Is there a different form of interaction between the television text and the female viewer than between the cinema screen and that spectator? What might that relation then be?

Let me begin with an essay on the Soap Opera addressing this latter issue, written by Tania Modleski in 1981; this essay set up the terms of the debate and a set of interests for much of the work on Soaps that followed, even when people were taking things in a rather different direction. (16) Modleski's essay was the first to develop Aschur's suggestion of relationship between the structure/rythm/mode of the Soap Opera and women's work. Modleski is enabled by recent theoretical developments to take the argument further into the realm of the parti-

cular psychic demands on woman in the family. Using psychoanalytic arguments from both Nancy Chodorow and Luce Irigaray, Modleski theorized that "Soap Operas tend...to break down the distance required for the proper working of identification... they point to a different kind of relationship between spectator and characters that can be described in the words of Irigaray as "nearness"...(17) Modleski uses the theories of Chodorow and Irigaray about the mother-daughter relationship to describe the way that the female spectator is socialized to relate to fictional texts: just as a relationship of "nearness" is inevitable in the mother-daughter bonding, which involves a kind of symbiosis, a difficulty of knowing where mother begins and daughter ends, so the female spectator will tend to over-identify with fictional characters, and not observe the boundaries that in fact separate her from the image.

Just as Modleski makes use of the new interest in psychoanalysis and the screen-spectator relationship to build on work done before, so scholars built on her essay. Sandy Flitterman, for instance, uses the semiotics developed by Christian Metz for film analysis to discuss, in more detail than Modleski or Aschur, the precise nature of the relationship between commercials and the soap drama. To do this, she focusses on the processes of enunciation, asking who speaks the text? To whom is it addressed? (18)

Flitterman's point is that "far from disrupting the narrative flow of daytime soap opera, commercials can be seen to continue it". Commercials, that is, prolong and maintain the overall impulse for narrative that Soaps satisfy, while providing units of satisfying closure in an overall form that itself frustrates closure.

Meanwhile, in her essay on Crossroads (19) Charlotte Brunson concludes that the address of the Soaps is a gendered one in relying on "the traditionally feminine competencies associated with the responsibility for managing the sphere of personal

life" (p. 81). She is careful to avoid the essentialist trap of claiming such competencies to be "natural" to women; she rather sees women as socially constructed to possess such skills through inscription in "the ideological and moral frameworks (the rules) of romance, marriage and family life". (p. 81)

Brunsdon's essay is important in focussing explicitly on the different narrative conventions in soaps and in the classical Hollywood Cinema, and on the ideological implications of these differences. The structure of the soap as endless dialogue about personal life inscribes the viewer in a particular ideological framework regarding the family. This is a positioning quite different from that in the Hollywood film..

It seems to me that exploring these differences in relation to all kinds of TV-programs is an important future task. As feminists, we need to explore the degree to which theories worked out for the dominant Hollywood narratives apply to what above I called the "televisual apparatus", since the representation of woman is produced by the apparatus as much as by the narrative. Indeed, much recent film theory has argued that one cannot make any distinction between the apparatus and the narrative, since it is the apparatus itself that produces certain inevitable "narrative" effects (such as, in film, the forced identification with the look of the camera).

Now this argument, a very complex one indeed, goes beyond the confines of this paper. I introduce it simply to highlight what I think is a crucial area for future feminist television research. We need to know how the televisual apparatus is used in any one TV genre to represent the female body - to see what possibilities there are for different kinds of female representation, and how bound by the limits of the apparatus are images of woman on TV. Let me conclude with reference to my recent work on issues of enunciation, gender address, narrative and the gaze in rock videos shown on Music Television (MTV) as an example of feminist work which tries to combine analysis of fe-

male images in individual texts with attention to their context of production/exhibition, and to the televisual apparatus.

Let me begin with the implications of the televisual apparatus for the representation of women on Music Television. Music Television is an advertiser supported, 24 hour cable station for which subscribers do not pay extra. Now owned by Via Communications, Music Television (MTV) was the brain child of Robert Pittman, then of Warner Amex Satellite Entertainment Company, who, in 1981, had the inspiration of a channel devoted mainly to the showing of promotional videos provided free by the record companies on the model of providing radio stations with free records. Confined to at short, four-minute format, inserted within the 24 hour flow, rock videos are a unique artistic mode (their song-image form has links with both opera and the Hollywood musical, but as we'll see, it differs in central ways). I am interested in the spectator-screen relationship as that is produced by both the visual strategies of individual videos and by the placing of four-minute texts with a series of other four-minute texts, and within a flow that includes other kinds of text, such as sponsor's ads, ads for MTV itself and its contests, interviews, music news, and the DeeJay's Somments. How does this "flow" affect the spectator? Is there any particular gender address in this flow? How does this kind of flow particularly affect the female spectator?

Let me first say something about the construction of what I have elsewhere called the "decentered" spectator through the very rapid flow of comparatively extremely short segments within a continuous, 24 hour channel (20). Here MTV possibly carries to an extreme elements present in other TV-programs particularly those that are also 24 hour stations (like the continuous weather and news channels) but also those that are "serials" in some form or another that is continuous segments to be viewed daily (most obviously soaps, but also the news that is regularly slotted and so highly stylized as to be "drama", (21) the game shows, or the Phil Donahue show).

All of these programs exist in a kind of horizontal axis that is neverending, instead of being discrete units consumed within a fixed 2 hour limit, like the Hollywood movie, or forms like the novel, which also have a fixed, and clearly defined "frame". TV in a certain sense does not have a "frame", since the texts are not bounded in that sort of manner. The texts rather resemble an endless film strip, turned on its side, in which the frames are replaced by episodes. Or, as Peggy Phelan has argued, perhaps a better model is that of Foucault's Panopticon, in which the guard surveys a series of prisoners through their windows. (22) Phelan is interested in setting up the TV producer as the "guard" and the individual TV viewer as the "prisoner" who watches in "a sequestered and observed solitude". But I think the guard metaphor works well also for the spectator's relationship to the various episodes that represent, in Foucault's words, "a multiplicity that can be numbered and supervised"; in fact, for the TV viewer, that desire for plenitude, for complete knowledge is of course forever delayed, forever deferred. The TV is seductive precisely because it speaks to a desire that is insatiable, it promises complete knowledge in some far distant and never-to-be-experienced future; its strategy is to keep us endlessly consuming in the hopes of fulfilling our desire; it hypnotizes us through addressing this desire; it keeps us returning for more.

This strategy is particularly evident in Music Television, since the texts here are only four minutes and so keep us forever watching, forever hoping to fulfil our desire in the next one that comes along. The mechanism of "Coming Up Next.." that all programs employ, and that is the staple of the serial, is an intricate aspect of the minute by minute watching of Music Television. We are trapped in the constant hope that the next video will be one to somehow ultimately satisfy, and so we go on and on watching and hoping, lured by the seductiveness of the constant promise of immediate plenitude. But all we are actually doing is consuming, endlessly.

Now, the question is to what degree this decentering televisual apparatus specifically positions women? Are women necessarily addressed differently by the apparatus, as was argued (at least in the beginning) for the classical Hollywood film? Is there something inherent in the televisual apparatus that addresses woman's social positioning as absence, lack, as again was the case for the Hollywood film?

This question takes me beyond the confines of my topic, but it is possible that what is true for Music Television is true for other TV programs, namely that instead of a more or less monolithic gaze (and a largely male gaze at that) as was found in the Hollywood film, there is a wide range of gazes with different gender implications. In other words, the apparatus itself, in its modes of functioning, is not gender specific per se; but across its "segments", be they Soap Opera segments, Crime Series segments, News Segments, Morning Show segments, we can find a variety of "gazes" that indicate an address to a certain kind of male or female "Imaginary". It is possible that there is frequently a kind of genderless address, and also that people of both genders are able to undertake multiple identifications, depending of course on the program involved.

What this implies is that the Televisual Imaginary is more complex than the cinematic one and does not involve the same regression to the Lacanian mirror-phase as theorists discovered in the filmic apparatus, and that was discussed above. In the case of MTV, for example, instead of the channel evoking aspects of the Lacanian mirror-phase Ideal Imago - a process that depends on sustained identification with a central figure in a prolonged narrative - issues to do with split subjectivity, with the alienation that the mirror-image involves, are rather evoked. (See Chart I).

Chart I

Polarized Filmic Categories in Recent
Film Theory that MTV violates:

The Classical Text (Hollywood)	The Avant-garde Text
Realism/Narrative	Non-realist anti- Narrative
History	Discourse
Complicit Ideology	Rupture of Dominant Ideology

In other words, while filmic processes seek (especially for the male viewer) to heal, for the duration of the movie, the painful split subjectivity instituted during the mirror-phase, MTV rather produces the decenteredness that is our actual condition and that is especially obvious to the young adolescent.

MTV thus addresses the desires, fantasies and anxieties of young people growing up in a world in which all traditional categories and institutions are being questioned. I have elsewhere argued that there are five main types of video on MTV and that there is a whole series of gazes instead of the broadly monolithic Hollywood gaze (see Chart II for summary of the types and how the gaze affects female images). The plethora of gender positions on the channel arguably reflects the heterogeneity of current sexroles, and the androgynous surface of many star-images indicates the blurring of clear lines between genders characteristic of many rock videos. (23)

Chart II

		<u>MODES</u> (All use avant-garde strategies, especially self-reflexivity, play with the image, etc.)					
		ROMANTIC	SOCIALLY CONSCIOUS	NIHILIST	CLASSICAL	POSTMODERNIST	
PREDOMINANT MTV THEMES		STYLE	Narrative	Elements varied	Performance Anti-narr.	Narrative	Pastiche No linear images
		LOVE/SEX	Loss and Reunion (Pre-Oedipal)	Struggle for Autonomy: Love as Problematic	Sadism/Masochism Homoeroticism Androgyny (Phallic)	The Male Gaze (Voyeuristic, Fetishistic)	Play with Oedipal positions
		AUTHORITY	Parent figures (positive)	Parent and public figures; Cultural critique	Nihilism Anarchy Violence	Male as Subject Female as Object	Neither for nor against authority (ambiguity)

Because of both the peculiarities of the televisual apparatus and the new phase of youth culture produced by the 1960's, most of the feminist methodologies that have emerged in television research so far are inappropriate for the rock videos on Music Television. This is mainly because of the sophisticated, selfconscious and skewed stance that the texts take toward their own subject matter. It is often difficult to know precisely what a rock video actually means, because its signifiers are not linked along a coherent, logical chain that produces any unambiguous message. The mode, to use Jameson's contrast, is that of pastiche rather than parody. (24) By this Jameson means that whereas modernist texts often took a particular critical position vis à vis earlier textual models, ridiculing specific stances or attitudes in them, or offering a sympathetic, comic perspective on them, postmodernist works

tend to use pastiche, a mode that lacks any clear positioning vis à vis what it shows, or toward any earlier texts that are used.

This has implications for gender first because it is often unclear who is speaking the rock video text, and therefore whether the male or the female discourse dominates; and second because the attitude toward sex and gender is often ambiguous. One finds oneself not knowing, for instance, whether or not a video like John Parr's "Naughty Naughty", or John Cougar Mellecamp's "Hurts So Good", are virulently sexist or merely pastiching an earlier Hollywood sexism. Even in the category that I call "Classical", (see Chart II) where the gaze is clearly voyeuristic and male, there is a studied self-consciousness that makes the result quite different from that in the dominant commercial cinema.

The ambiguity is usually as prevalent in the videos made from lyrics by female stars as in those of the dominant white male stars featured on the channel. In a forthcoming paper (25), I examine a variety of enunciative positions in select videos by female stars in order to show the range from videos in the "Socially Conscious" category that make a kind of statement one could call "feminist" (e.g. Pat Benatar's "Love is a Battlefield", or Donna Summer's "She works Hard for the Money"), and that have fairly conventional narratives (although they still do not work as logically as the Hollywood narrative), through those which comment upon the objectifying male gaze (as does arguably Tina Turner's "Private Dancer"), to those which attempt to set up a different gaze altogether, or to address some (possible) female gaze (as arguably happens in the Annie Lennox/Aretha Franklin video "Sisters are Doin' It For Themselves"). But it is significant that all of these types are extremely infrequent within the 24 hour continuous MTV flow, and it is here that the situation of individual texts within that flow has implications for gender issues. According to a recent quantifying study of MTV, videos featuring white males take up 75% of

the 24 hour flow. (26) Only 20% of MTV videos have central figures who are female (incidentally, the figure is even lower for blacks), and women are typically, like blacks, rarely important enough to be part of the foreground. Brown and Campbell assert that "white women are often shown in passive and solitary activity or are shown trying to gain the attention of a man who ignores them". (p. 15) Among those 20 percent, the number of videos by women that fall into my three categories above is miniscule; and those few rarely, or only briefly, fall into the frequent cycling pattern. The female videos that are frequently cycled fall into the first type mentioned, namely those where the position is ambiguous, where what we might call a post-feminist stance is evident.

Let me explain what I mean by this, and several other points, by looking at a video figuring Madonna, one of the most successful women stars to date. "Material Girl" is particularly useful for discussion because it exemplifies a common rock video phenomenon, namely that of establishing a unique kind of intertextual relationship with a specific Hollywood movie. Because of this, as well as the difficulty of ensuring the text's stance toward what it shows and the blurring of many conventional boundaries, I would put the video in the "Postmodern" category in my chart, despite its containing more narrative that is usual for the type.

As is well-known, "Material Girl" takes off from the famous Marilyn Monroe dance/song sequence in Gentlemen Prefer Blondes, namely "Diamonds Are A Girl's Best Friend". The sequence occurs towards the end of the film where Esmond's father has severed Monroe financially from Esmond, forcing her to earn her living by performing. In this sequence, having finally found her, Esmond is sitting in the audience watching the show. We thus have the familiar Hollywood situation, where the woman's performing permits her double articulation as spectacle for the male gaze (i.e. she is object of desire for both the male spectator in the diegetic audience and for the spectator in the cinema

watching the film). The strategy formalizes the mirror-phase situation by framing the female body both within the stage proscenium arch and the cinema screen.

During this sequence, which starts with Esmond's astonished gaze at Monroe from the theatre seat (presumably he is surprised anew by Monroe's sexiness), Monroe directs her gaze toward the camera that is situated in Esmond's place. The space relations are thus quite simple, there being merely the two spaces of the stage and of the theatre audience. We know that the film is being made under the authorial label "Hawks", that within the diegesis, Monroe and Russell are setting up the action, but that, despite this, the patriarchal world in which they move constrains them and makes only certain avenues available.

When we turn to the video inspired by the Monroe dance sequence, we see that the situation is far more complicated. First, it is unclear who is speaking this video, even on the remote "authorial label" level, since credits are never given in the usual run of things. Is it perhaps Madonna, as historical star subject? Is it "Madonna I", the movie-star protagonist within the "framing" diegesis? Is it "Madonna II", the figure within the musical dance diegesis? Is it the poor director who has fallen in love with her image, and desires to possess her? If we focus first on the visual strategies and then on the sound track, you will see that we get different and still confusing answers to the question.

Visually, the poor director's (PD) gaze seems to structure some of the shots, but this is not consistent, as it is in the Monroe sequence. And shots possibly structured by him (or in which he is later discovered to have been present) only occur at irregular intervals. The video begins by foregrounding (perhaps pastiching?) the classical Hollywood male gaze: there is a close up of the poor director, played by John Carradine (the video thus bows again to the classical film), whom we soon realize is watching rushes of a film starring "Madonna I". An ob-

sessed, glazed look on his face, "I want her, George", he says; George promises to deliver, as we cut to a two-shot of the men, behind whom we see the cinema screen and Madonna I's image but as yet hear no sound from the performance. The camera closes in on her face, and on her seductive look first out to the camera, then sideways to the men around her. As the camera now moves into the screen, blurring the boundaries between screening room, screen, and the film set (the space of the performance that involves the story of the Material Girl, Madonna II), the "rehearsal" (if that is what it was) ends, and a rich lover comes onto the set with a large present for Madonna I.

This then is a desire for the woman given birth through the cinematic apparatus, in classic manner; yet while the sequence seems to foreground those mechanisms, it does not appear to critique or in any way comment upon them. In Jameson's terms, this makes the process pastiche rather than parody, and puts it in the postmodernist mode. The blurring of the diegetic spaces further suggests postmodernism, as does the following confusion of enunciative stances, taking the visual track alone. For while the PD's gaze clearly constructed the first shot-series, it is not clear that his gaze structures the shot where Madonna I receives the present. We still hear the whirring sound of a projector, as if this were still the screening room space; and yet we are inside that screen - we no longer see the space around the frame, thus disorienting the viewer.

There is no room here for a full analysis of the video, but such work does show that the usual hierarchical arrangement of discourses in the classical realist text is totally violated in "Material Girl". While Madonna I is certainly set up as object of the PD's desire, in quite classical manner, the text refuses to let her be controlled by that desire. This is achieved by unbalancing the relations between framing story and performance story so that Madonna I is over-ridden by her stage figure, Madonna II, the brash, gutsy "Material Girl". The line between "fiction" and "reality" within the narrative is thus

blurred: this has severe consequences just because the two women are polar opposites.

In Gentlemen Prefer Blondes, on the other hand, no such confusion or discrepancy exists. From the start, Monroe's single-minded aim is to catch a rich man, and she remains fixed on that throughout. The function of her performance of "Diamonds Are A Girl's Best Friend" is partly simply to express what has been obvious to the spectator, if not to Esmond, all along; but also to let Esmond get the idea, were he smart enough. Monroe sings a song that expresses her philosophy of life, but we are clear about the lines between the stage-fiction and the context of its presentation, and Monroe as a character in the narrative. Part of the confusion in the Madonna video comes about precisely because the scene of the performance is not made very clear and because the lines between the different spaces of the text are blurred.

The situation in "Material Girl" is even more problematic because of the way that Madonna, as historical star subject, breaks through her narrative positions through her strong personality, her love of performing for the camera, her inherent energy and vitality. Madonna searches for the camera's gaze and for the TV spectator's gaze that follows it because she relishes being desired. The "roles" melt away through her unique presence and the logical narrative incoherence discussed above seems resolved in our understanding that Madonna herself, as historical subject, is the really "material girl"!

It is perhaps Madonna's success in articulating and parading the desire to be desired in an unabashed, aggressive, gutsy manner (as against the self-abnegating urge to lose oneself in the male that is evident in the classical Hollywood film) that attracts the hordes of twelve-year-old fans that idolize her and crowd her concerts. The amazing "look alike" Madonna contests (viz. a recent Macy's campaign in New York) and the successful exploitation of the weird Madonna style of dress by

clothing companies attests to this idolatry. It is significant that Madonna's style is a far cry from the conventional "patriarchal feminine" of the women's magazines - it is a cross between a bordello queen and a bag lady: young teenagers may use her as a protest against their mothers and the normal feminine while still remaining very much within those modes (in the sense of spending a lot of money, time and energy on their "look"; the "look" is still crucial to their identities, still designed to attract attention, even if provocatively).

In some sense, then, Madonna represents the post-feminist heroine in that she combines unabashed seductiveness with a gutsy kind of independence. She is neither particularly male nor female identified, and seems mainly to be out for herself. This postfeminism is part of a larger postmodernist phenomenon which her video also embodies in its blurring of hitherto sacrosanct boundaries and polarities of the various kinds discussed. The usual bi-polar categories - male/female, high art/pop art, film/TV, fiction/reality, private/public, interior/exterior, etc. simply no longer apply to "Material Girl".

This analysis of "Material Girl" has shown the ambiguity of enunciative positions within the video that in turn is responsible for the ambiguous representation of the female image. The positioning of a video like "Material Girl", moreover, within the 24 hour flow of this commercial channel ensures that it is this sort of ambiguous image that appears frequently, as against the other female images mentioned and that are only rarely cycled. That this sort of post-modern image is seen to be the most marketable one is evident from the fact that the same postfeminist image dominates the ads interspersed among the videos.

The whole televisual apparatus thus contributes to the prevalence of the ambiguous female image. To summarize: first, the main force of MTV as a cable channel is consumption on a whole variety of levels, ranging from the literal (i.e. selling the sponsors' goods, the rock stars' records, and MTV itself) to

the psychological (i.e. selling the image, the "look", the style). MTV is more obviously than other programs one nearly continuous advertisement, the flow being merely broken down into different kinds of ads. More than other programs, then, MTV positions the spectator in the mode of constantly hoping that the next ad-segment (of whatever kind) will satisfy the desire for plenitude: the channel keeps the spectator in the consuming mode more intensely because its items are all so short.

Since the mode of address throughout is that of the ad, then like the ad the channel relies on engaging the spectator on the level of unsatisfied desire. This remains in the psyche from the moment of entry into the Lacanian Symbolic, and is available for channelling in various directions. Given the organization of the Lacanian symbolic around the phallus as signifier, it is not surprising that MTV basically addresses the desire for the phallus remaining in the psyche of both genders. This partly accounts for the dominance of the channel by videos featuring white male stars.

Nevertheless, as my chart shows, the male gaze is not monolithic on the channel: here again, the televisual apparatus enables the production of a variety of different gazes due to the arrangement of a series of short, constantly changing segments in place of the 2 hour continuous film narrative, or the usually single book-length or theatrical narratives. There is no possibility within the four-minute segment (others are shorter) for regression to the Freudian Oedipal conflicts in the manner of the classical narrative. What we rather have is a semi-comical play with Oedipal positions, as in the postmodern video, or a focus on one particular mode in the Oedipal complex in some of the other video-types outlined in the chart.

The implications of all this for a feminist perspective need close analysis. Feminism has traditionally relied on a liberal or left humanist position, as was clear in my earlier delineation of major types of feminism, historically. If the televisual apparatus manifests a new stage of consciousness in which

that liberal/left humanism no longer has a place, this implicates a majority of feminist positions. If Baudrillard is correct in seeing the TV screen as symbolizing a new era in which "The Faustian, Promethean (perhaps Oedipal) period of production and consumption" has given way to "the narcissistic and protean era of connections, contact, contiguity, feedback and generalized interface that goes with the universe of communication", (27) then feminism needs to address the changed situation. Gender has been one of the central organizing categories of what Baudrillard calls the old "hot" (as against the new "cold") universe, but this may be lost in the new era, with unclear (and not necessarily progressive) results.

Feminists need to explore television's part in the changed, and still changing, relationship of self to image. This change began at the turn of the century with the development of advertising and of the department-store window; it was then further affected by the invention of the cinematic apparatus, and television has, in turn, produced more changes. The television screen now replaces the cinema screen as the central controlling cultural mode, setting up a new spectator-screen relationship which I have begun to analyze in the work on MTV. For MTV constantly comments upon the self in relation to image (especially the TV image), to the extent that that may be seen as its main "content". The blurring of distinctions between a "self" and an "image" - or the reduction of the old notion of "self" to "image" - is something for feminists to explore, even as we fear the coming of Baudrillard's universe of "simulacra". By this, Baudrillard means a world in which all we have are simulations, there being no "real" external to them, no "original" that is being copied. (28) It would be as if all were reduced merely to exteriors, there no longer being any "interiors".

The reduction of the female body to merely an "image" is something that women have lived with for a long time, and the phenomenon has been extensively studied by feminist film critics.

But this study always somehow assumed an entity possible of being constructed differently. The new postmodern universe, with its celebration of the look, the surfaces, textures, the self-as-commodity, threatens to reduce everything to the image/representation/simulacrum. Television - with its decentered address, its flattening out of things into a network or system, the parts of which all rely on each other and that is endless, unbounded, unframed - is an apparatus urgently requiring more through examination, particularly in relation to its impact on women.

Notes:

- (1) Cf. Kaplan, Ann E., "Introduction", and William Boddy, "Loving a Nineteen Inch Motorola: American Writing on Television", in Regarding Television - Critical Approaches: An Anthology, (Los Angeles: The American Film Institute, 1984), pp. xi-xxiii, and 1-11; and Robert C. Allen, Speaking of Soap Operas Chapel Hill and London: University of North Carolina Press, 1985), chapter Two, especially pp. 40-44; David Morley, The Nationwide Audience: Structure and Decoding (London: The British Film Institute, 1977), pp. 1-5.
- (2) Cf. Morley, David, The Nationwide Audience: Structure and Decoding, BFI Television Monographs, No. 11 (London: The British Film Institute, 1980), p. 1.
- (3) Cf. The full-length version of this essay, to be published in Channels of Discourse: Television and Contemporary Criticism, ed. Robert C. Allen (Chapel Hill: North Carolina University Press, 1986). Forthcoming.
- (4) Heath, Stephen and Gillian Skirrow, "Television: A World in Action", Screen. Vol. 18, No. 2 (Summer 1977), pp. 7-60.
- (5) I am thinking here of the work by Cathy Schwichtenberg, Rebecca Baillin, and others. Ellen Seiter at Northwestern was another "pioneer".
- (6) One could add yet one more political feminist stance, namely the post-modern, but I have not yet seen this approach applied by women to television. The concept of the postmodern, as developed particularly by Jameson and Baudrillard, involves the blurring of hitherto sacrosanct boundaries and polarities, the elimination of any position from which to speak or judge. It involves the obliteration of any distinction between an "inside" and an "outside", the reduction of all to one level, often seen as that of the simulacra. There is no longer a realm of the "real" versus that of "imitation" or "mimicry", but rather a level in which there is only simulation. Poststructuralist feminism still honors the concept of a feminist position, even while doubting that the (patriarchal) "feminine" can

be subverted. It still problematizes the issue of searching for alternate female positions, it still seeks such positions. Post-modernist "feminism" (the word must be put in brackets since the position is ultimately a post-feminist one) rather defines feminism itself, as a concept, as an essentializing term, as looking back to the individualist framework of early feminist movements. It therefore refuses to honor "feminist" as a concept, but is rather interested precisely in elaborating/dwelling upon/working through the problems with the concept. Thus, the postmodernist position is still concerned with feminism, but now from the perspective of exposing its limits, of arguing for the need to think through other categories. (See discussion of Music Television further on).

- (7) Cf. A recent article of mine, "The Hidden Agenda: A Review of Re-vision: Essays in Feminist Film Criticism (Los Angeles: The American Film Institute, 1984)", in Camera Obscura. Nos. 24-25 (Fall 1985), pp. Cf also forthcoming essay reviewing feminist film criticism generally in Studies in The Literary Imagination. In both articles I discuss the essentialist/anti-essentialist debate in some detail.
- (8) Kristeva, Julia, "Women's Time", trans. Alice Jardine and Harry Blake, in Signs: A Journal of Women in Culture, Vol. 7, No. 1, pp. 13-35.
- (9) Meehan's study was published in Metuchen, NJ and London by the Scarecrow Press in 1983. Page numbers refer to this edition.
- (10) For an excellent example of this kind of work on media images prior to television's invention, cf. Maureen Honeywell, Creating Rosie the Riveter (Amberst, Mass.: University of Massachusetts Press, 1984).
- (11) Robinson, Lillian, "What's My Line? Telefiction and Women's Work", in her Sex, Class and Culture (Bloomington and London: Indiana University Press, 1978), pp. 310-344. Page numbers refer to this publication.
- (12) Lopate, Carol, "Day-Time Television: You'll Never Want to Leave Home", Feminist Studies, Vol. 4, No. 6 (1976), pp. 70-82.
- (13) These theories, too complex to review here, have been thoroughly discussed in two recent books on women in film, by Annette Kuhn and E. Ann Kaplan. Please refer to these for more details.
- (14) Cf. for example the the debate being carried out in Cinema Journal around various readings of Stella Dallas, Vol. 24, No. 2 (Winter, 1985), Vol. 25, No. 1 (Fall, 1985).
- (15) Cf. for more information on these methods, chapter by Robert Allen on reader-response criticism in Robert C. Allen, ed. Op. Cit.
- (16) Cf. Modleski, Tania, "The Rhythms of Reception: Daytime Television and Women's Work", reprinted in E. Ann Kaplan, ed., Regarding Television: Critical Approaches - An Anthology (Los Angeles: The American Film Institute, 1983), pp. 67-75.

- (17) Cf. Chodorow, Nancy, The Reproduction of Mothering: Psychoanalysis and the Sociology of Gender (Berkeley: The University of California Press, 1978), especially Chapters 5 and 6; and Luce Irigaray, "This Sex Which Is Not One", and "When Our Lips Speak Together", collected in This Sex Which Is Not One, trans. Catherine Porter and Carolyn Burke (Ithaca, New York: Cornell University Press, 1985).
- (18) Flitterman, Sandy, "The Real Soaps Operas: TV Commercials", in Kaplan, ed. Op. Cit., pp. 84-96. Page numbers refer to this publication.
- (19) Brunsdon, Charlotte, "Crossroads: Notes on Soap Opera", in Kaplan, ed. Op. Cit., pp. 76-83.
- (20) For details of these arguments, cf. E. Ann Kaplan, "A Post-Modern Play of the Signifier? Advertising, Pastiche and Schizophrenia in Music Television", In Philip Drummond and Richard Paterson, Television in Transition (London: The British Film Institute, 1985), the Construction of the Spectator in Music Television", in Oxford Literary Review, forthcoming Spring 1986; and E. Ann Kaplan "History Spectatorship and Gender Address in Music Television". Journal of Communication Inquiry (vol. 10, no. 1, Winter 1986).
- (21) Cf. Stam, Robert, "Television News and Its Spectator", in E. Ann Kaplan, Op. Cit., pp. 23-44.
- (22) Cf. Phelan, Peggy, "Panopticism and the Uncanny: Notes Toward Television's Visual Time". Unpublished paper.
- (23) Cf. for more details for these points, works cited above.
- (24) Cf. Jameson, Fredric, "Postmodernism and Consumer Culture", in Hal Foster, ed. The Anti-Aesthetic: Essays on Postmodern Culture (Port Townsend, Washington Bay Press, 1983), p. 113.
- (25) To be published in a forthcoming collection of original essays edited by Deirdre Pribram and published by Verso, London.
- (26) Cf. Brown, Jane D. and Kenneth C. Campbell, "The Same Best But a Different Drummer: Race and Gender in Music Videos", Journal of Communication (Spring 1986).
- (27) Baudrillard, Jean, "The Ecstasy of Communication", in The Anti-Aesthetic Op. Cit., p. 127.
- (28) Cf. Baudrillard, Jean, Simulations, trans. Paul Foss, Paul Patton and Phili Beitchman (New York: Semiotext(e) Inc. 1983).

Further Reading

As noted in the essay, there has been comparatively little work on the representation of women on television altogether, and even less from perspectives other than the quantifying, social-science ones. The main body of feminist work in media studies has been on the Hollywood film, with work on women and the avantgarde, experimental or documentary film taking second place. As was also noted in the essay, methodologies worked out for the Hollywood film do not automatically apply to the different televisual apparatus. Nevertheless, it might be useful for students to familiarize themselves with a modest amount of feminist film theory, since much of the best feminist work on television starts off from those positions, and relies on similar theories (i.e. semiotics, psychoanalysis, post-structuralism), revising them according to the specificity of television. Three recent books provide over-views of feminist film theory:

Annette Kuhn, Women's Pictures: Feminism and Cinema. London: Routledge & Kegan Paul, 1982.

E. Ann Kaplan, Women and Film: Both Sides of the Camera. London and New York, 1983.

Mary Ann Doane, Patricia Mellencamp and Linda Williams, eds. Re-Vision Essays in Feminist Film Criticism. Los Angeles: The American Film Institute, 1984.

Again as noted in the essay, an introductory understanding of feminist theory is crucial as background to any feminist work in television studies. For a recent overview of feminist theories as they have developed in France, America and Britain from 1970 to 1986, see Toril Moi, Sexual/Textual Politics: Feminist Literary Theory. London and New York: Methuen, 1986. Key texts for recent feminist approaches to television are Nancy Chodorow, The Reproduction of Mothering: Psychoanalysis and the Sociology of Gender. Berkeley: The University of California Press, 1978; Julia Kristeva, "Women's Time," trans. Alice Jardine and Harry Blake, Signs: A Journal of Women in Culture, Vol. 7, No. 1 (1981), pp. 13-35 (other relevant essays by Kristeva will shortly be available in a collection edited by Toril Moi and to be published by Blackwell's Oxford); Luce Irigaray, "This Sex Which Is not One", and "When Two Lips Speak Together", both collected in This Sex Which Is Not One, trans. Catherine Porter and Carolyn Burke. Ithaca, New York: Cornell University, Press, 1985.

Given the overall perspective of my essay, the most useful essays on television criticism for students to start out with are those collected in E. Ann Kaplan, ed., Regarding Television: A Critical Anthology. Los Angeles: The American Film Institute, 1984. The essays by Robert Stam and Jane Feuer provide excellent background for work on the televisual apparatus that is central to any specifically feminist analysis, while those by Tania Modleski, Charlotte Brunsdon, Sandy Flitterman and Robert C. Allen provide models for different feminist approaches to the Soap Opera.

Essays not collected in the book that provide important background for work in the collection include Stephen Heath and Gillian Skirrow, "Television: A World in Action", Screen, Vol. 18, No. 2 (1977), pp. 7-59, Janice Winship, "Handling Sex", Media, Culture and Society, Vol. 3, No. 1 (1981), pp. 6-18, and, specifically on Soaps, Ellen Seiter, "The Role of the Woman Reader: Eco's Narrative Theory and Soap Operas", Tabloid, No. 6

(1981). For detailed analysis of Soaps and full bibliography, see Robert C. Allen, Speaking of Soap Operas. Chapel Hill and London: The University of North Carolina Press, 1985. Chapters 3 and 4 in particular contain material relevant to feminist criticism.

Recently, some feminist scholars have begun to extend work being done on melodrama in relation to the Hollywood film to television serials. Cf. for film background essays collected in Douglas Sirk, eds. Laura Mulvey and Jon Halliday. Edinburgh Film Festival, 1972; Laura Mulvey, "Afterthoughts on Visual Pleasure and Narrative Cinema, Inspired by Duel in the Sun", Framework, Nos. 15/16/17 (1981), pp. 12-15; Mary Ann Doane, "The Woman's Film: Possession and Address", in Re-Vision, Op. Cit., pp. 67-82; E. Ann Kaplan, "Theories of Melodrama"; Women and Performance, Vol. 1, No. 1 (Summer 1983), pp. 40-48; and Christine Gledhill, ed. Women and Melodrama. London: The British Film Institute, 1986. For applications to television, see Jane Feuer, "Melodrama, Serial Form and Television Today", Screen, Vol. 25, No. 1 (Jan-Feb. 1984), pp. 4-16; and Annette Kuhn, "Women's Genres", ibid., pp. 18-28.

Another important area of research is related to discourse theory rather than to either psychoanalytic or semiological approaches, although the work is linked in the interest in the construction of the subject through the processes of desire. Both Fina Bathrick (in a forthcoming book on the media), and Lyn Spiegel (in a dissertation) deal with discourses that address the relationship between television, the home and the family in advertising and women's magazines. In two unpublished papers ("Installing the Television Set: The Discourses of Women's Home Magazines 1948-1955", and "TV in the TV Home: Television's Discourse on Television, 1948-1955"), Lyn Spiegel looks at the sites of representing TV in books on interior home decor and in TV programming itself. Spiegel shows how the discourses she discovers dramatize the problematic of TV's relationship to the public in their construction of a series of subject positions for family members in the home equipped with TV.

This work on discourse analysis is being taken up in another important area, little dealt with from the cultural-studies theoretical position, namely that of research in audience response to Television. The best work here is informed by neo-Marxist Althusserian ideas, sometimes together with Foucauldian theory (cf. for a good example, Tony Bennett, "Texts in History: The Determinations of Readings and Their Texts", in D. Attridge, G. Bennington and R. Young, eds, Post-Structuralism and the Question of History. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1986.) This work is important for feminist criticism in combining the problematic of subject-formation crucial to gender issues with equally central issues of contextual and historical specificities. Continuing their work on the audience for the British program "Nationwide", David Morely and Charlotte Brunson are studying how the television operates within the home setting - looking at the gender discourses that structure its use, and at how these determine who controls the set, etc. Cf. for earlier work, Charlotte Brunson and David Morely, Everyday Television: Nationwide London: The British Film Institute, 1978.

Feminist criticism of Music Television is only just starting. The earliest piece is E. Ann Kaplan, "A Postmodern Play of the Signifier? Advertising, Pastiche and Schizophrenia in Music Television", paper delivered in Summer

1983 at the London International Television Conference and published in Television in Transition eds. Philip Drummond and Richard Paterson, London: The British Film Institute, 1985, pp. 146-164; a development of these ideas is available in E. Ann Kaplan, "Sexual Difference, Pleasure and the Construction of the Spectator in Music Television," Oxford Literary Review (Summer 1986); further work on sexual difference in rock videos may be found in her forthcoming book, Rocking Around the Clock: Consumption and Postmodern Culture in Music Television. London and New York: Methuen, 1987.

For an example of a quantifying approach to sex-roles in Music Television, see Jane D. Brown and Kenneth Campbell, "The Same Beat but A Different Drummer: Race and Gender in Music Videos", Journal of Communication Inquiry, Vol. 10, No. 1 (Winter 1986).

E. Ann Kaplan, Rutgers University, New York, U.S.A.

Myth and Reality in Women's Employment in Broadcasting

TEN YEARS OF EQUAL OPPORTUNITY

By Margaret Gallagher

Danish Summary: Margaret Gallagher beskæftiger sig i sin artikel med myter og realiteter i kvindernes ansættelse og indflydelse i de europæiske nationale TV-organisationer.

De europæiske landes TV-systemer har hidtil været underlagt offentlig kontrol og dermed en forpligtelse til at fungere som "public service" institution. Denne status, påpeger Gallagher, har givet anledning til en særlig mytologi, som medierne med flid har plejet og vedligeholdt om sig selv: forestillinger om frihed, objektivitet og pragmatisme i sammenhæng med forestillinger om professionalisme, kvalitet og pålidelighed som fundament for public service systemets mytologi om alsidighed og evne til at beskytte og respektere minoriteter osv. Det der kendetegner disse forestillinger er deres abstrakte og udefinerlige karakter, men fra denne synsvinkel er de nye medier en trussel mod det prisværdige status quo, som vil blive lagt øde af kommercialisme osv. Udfordringen fra de nye medier øger public-service institutionernes behov for at understrege deres 'anderledeshed' - deres rolle som formidlere af sociale normer og national bevidsthed.

Organisationernes samfundsmæssige rolle hviler på to tilsyneladende modstridende ideologier, som ikke desto mindre trives side om side og som har kunnet anvendes alternerende i situationer med skiftende ydre politisk og økonomisk pression til