

Dyslexia: Advantage or Disability?

Alma Heiberg Justesen 

1. Introduction

“Weren’t you just a lazy kid?” is a question many people with dyslexia have heard at some point in their lives. Such questions reflect a persistent misconception that developmental dyslexia arises from laziness or lack of effort, rather than from neurological differences in the brain (Stein 2018, 1). Misunderstandings remain widespread, particularly in educational settings, and often prevent the public from recognizing the cognitive advantages individuals with dyslexia may possess. This article argues that dyslexia is a neurological learning difference unrelated to intelligence or motivation, and that the unique strengths many individuals with dyslexia develop should be recognized and leveraged in modern classrooms to help them reach their full potential. This article starts by examining how dyslexia is defined and how it can be compared to the normal reading brain. It then proceeds to an analysis of the compensatory strategies and cognitive strengths associated with dyslexia, and finally, it discusses the implications of these findings for educational practice.

2. Methodology

This article employs a qualitative literature review based on peer-reviewed sources, enabling me to integrate theoretical research with empirical findings (Snyder 2019, 333). This approach was chosen because gaining a holistic understanding of dyslexia requires looking beyond quantitative measures alone. The goal is to integrate current scientific evidence to support the argument that dyslexia is rooted in neurological differences rather than low intelligence or lack of effort. Both primary and secondary sources are used. Secondary sources were selected for their academic credibility and relevance. For instance, “*Reading in the Brain*” by Stanislas Dehaene (2009) outlines how neural circuits for reading develop, while Lyon, Shaywitz, and Shaywitz’s (2003) “*Dyslexia and the Brain*” provides evidence for the neurological differences characteristic of dyslexia. Schneps “*The Advantages of Dyslexia*” (2015) highlights cognitive strengths, reinforcing that dyslexia is not linked to intelligence. Additionally, primary sources such as BBC interviews offer insight into lived

experiences, illustrating how these neurological differences manifest in everyday learning contexts. The focus of this article is limited to developmental dyslexia, excluding acquired or trauma-induced forms to maintain relevance to educational practice. This methodology combines empirical research with personal experiences. It establishes a foundation for arguing that dyslexia is rooted in neurobiology, not laziness or lack of ability.

3. Defining Dyslexia

Dyslexia has been studied for over a century, yet it remains widely misunderstood (Hudson, High, and Otaiba 2007, 506). Misconceptions about the condition can have profound emotional consequences for those affected. Molly King recalls feeling “Stupid the way I did” due to early misunderstandings of her reading difficulties (BBC 2023). Clarifying what dyslexia is and what it is not is essential for educators, students, and the general public.

3.1 The contemporary definition of dyslexia

The International Dyslexia Association (IDA) defines dyslexia as a specific, lifelong learning disability rooted in neurological differences (Lyon, Shaywitz, and Shaywitz 2003, 2). This definition can be summarized into five key points. First, dyslexia is a specific learning disability that primarily affects reading, and it is distinct from broader learning difficulties. As Lyon, Shaywitz, and Shaywitz note (2003, 3) “the cognitive characteristics of deficits in attention and mathematics are quite different from the cognitive characteristics associated with deficits in basic reading skills”. Second, dyslexia is neurological in origin. It does not stem from low intelligence, laziness, or poor teaching. Instead, it arises from differences in brain structure and function (Lyon, Shaywitz, and Shaywitz 2003, 3). Third, the core difficulty in dyslexia lies in phonological processing, which is the ability to recognize and manipulate the sound structure of language. Deficits in phonological awareness make reading, spelling, and decoding more challenging (Lyon, Shaywitz, and Shaywitz 2003, 6-7). Fourth, dyslexia is unexpected in relation to an individual's intelligence and educational opportunities. Unlike earlier definitions that relied on IQ-to-reading discrepancies, the current definition evaluates reading ability relative to age and learning context (Shaywitz 1996, 98; Lyon, Shaywitz, and Shaywitz 2003, 8). Finally, effective classroom instruction is important. Persistent reading difficulties despite high-quality teaching indicate true dyslexia. Without early identification, reduced reading practice limits vocabulary and knowledge, even when intelligence is unaffected (Lyon, Shaywitz, and Shaywitz 2003, 9).

3.2 Common misconceptions about dyslexia

Despite clear scientific definitions, public understanding of dyslexia is often shaped by myths. A common misconception is that dyslexia is associated with low intelligence. This is inaccurate: “Dyslexia and intelligence are Not connected. Many dyslexic individuals are very bright and creative and have accomplished amazing things as adults” (Dyslexia Help n.d.-a). While individuals with dyslexia may read less frequently and thus acquire less information through reading, this should not be mistaken for lower intelligence. Instead, it highlights a challenge that can be addressed efficiently through appropriate interventions. Another widespread misconception is that dyslexia results from a lack of effort. In reality, individuals with dyslexia often work harder than their peers. The IDA emphasizes “the frustration...stems from their inability to succeed no matter how hard they try” (International Dyslexia Association n.d.-b). Efforts alone cannot overcome the neurological differences that characterize dyslexia. A final misconception is that dyslexia can be outgrown. While early intervention significantly improves outcomes, dyslexia is a lifelong condition, and difficulties may persist without sustained support (Shaywitz, Morris, Shaywitz 2008, 459).

Addressing these myths is critical because misunderstanding dyslexia fosters stigma and obscures the strengths many dyslexic individuals demonstrate. Clarifying these misconceptions is particularly important in schools, where teachers and parents who understand the true nature of dyslexia can provide timely support, set realistic expectations, and foster an environment that emphasizes students’ strengths rather than perpetuating myths. As Molly King emphasizes “the better we all understand dyslexia, the more we can help people who have it, reach their full potential” (BBC 2023). By clarifying both the contemporary definition and common misconceptions, this section establishes that dyslexia is rooted in neurological differences rather than a lack of motivation or intelligence. This understanding provides a solid foundation for examining how the reading process differs in individuals with and without dyslexia.

4. The reading process in the brain

Learning to read is a complex process that the human brain was not specifically designed to do: “only a stroke of good fortune allowed us to read” (Dehaene 2009, 302). Research suggests that the brain adapts existing neural circuits, originally designed for visual recognition and spoken language, to comprehend written symbols (Sousa 2014, 9). Through repeated practice and instruction, the brain associates visual symbols with sound and meaning, enabling fluent reading (Dehaene 2009, 2). Understanding these reading processes is crucial for recognizing why dyslexia manifests as a neurological difference.

4.1 Neural processes in typical reading

In a non-dyslexic brain, reading relies on a coordinated network of regions in the left hemisphere. The occipital lobe processes visual information, distinguishing letter and word shapes. The parietal lobe links these visual symbols to sounds and memory, enabling the decoding of unfamiliar words. The frontal lobe, including Broca's area, organizes language and supports speech production, while the temporal lobe, including Wernicke's area, facilitates comprehension (Hudson, High, and Otaiba 2007, 508). Two specialized systems are crucial: the parietotemporal system, which maps letters to sounds for decoding, and the occipitotemporal system, also called the visual word form area (or 'letterbox'), which allows rapid recognition of familiar words (Dehaene 2009; Hudson, High, and Otaiba 2007, 508). In skilled readers, these systems work efficiently and almost automatically, demonstrating how neurological organization underpins fluent reading. Understanding these typical neural mechanisms establishes a foundation for the next section, which examines the neurological differences in the dyslexic brain.

4.2 Neural characteristics of dyslexia

Reading is more challenging for individuals with dyslexia because the neural system that supports fluent reading is less efficient. These differences are most evident in the brain's reading circuits, particularly those involved in decoding, visual word recognition, and linking letters to sounds. Brain imaging studies show that dyslexic readers consistently exhibit reduced activation in the left temporo-parietal and occipitotemporal regions, areas crucial for mapping letters to sounds and recognizing words automatically (Dehaene 2009, 246; Lyon, Shaywitz, and Shaywitz 2003, 3). As a result, decoding requires more conscious effort. Dehaene (2009, 247-248) argues that the occipital-temporal "letterbox" does not respond as efficiently, meaning that dyslexic readers often process letters and words more slowly and with less automaticity. This suggests that dyslexia stems from neurological differences in reading pathways, rather than a lack of effort. Neuroanatomy research supports these functional findings. Using voxel-based morphometry, a technique that measures gray-matter volume across the cortex, Eraldo Paulesu and colleagues found evidence of disorganization in the temporal cortex, including a cluster of gray matter in speech-processing and occipitotemporal regions that interfere with typical reading function (Dehaene 2009, 249 - 250). Additionally, studies show that dyslexic brains often have less white matter connecting posterior and anterior reading regions, reducing communication efficiency (Hudson, High, and Otaiba 2007, 509). These structural and connectivity differences explain why dyslexic readers must rely on effortful strategies, which will be discussed below, rather than automatic word recognition.

Together, these findings make it clear that dyslexia is a neurological condition. The brain's reading network functions differently, requiring compensatory effort to decode words. This understanding lays the groundwork for examining how dyslexic individuals develop strategies to read successfully and highlights why early identification and support is critical.

5. Compensating strategies in dyslexia

As mentioned above, people with dyslexia may learn to read successfully despite their neurological challenges (Shaywitz 1996, 102). This is because they develop compensating strategies to cope with their difficulties. This section examines two widely studied mechanisms: comprehension-based compensation and memory/orthographic compensation.

5.1 Comprehension-based compensation

One compensatory mechanism involves relying more heavily on higher-level comprehension processes to support reading. Shaywitz (1996, 100) distinguishes between two subsystems in the reading brain: a lower-order system, responsible for phonological decoding, and a higher-order system, responsible for comprehension. While the lower-order system is impaired, the higher-order system often remains intact and can therefore support reading despite phonological difficulties. However, other research suggests that comprehension may also be compromised. Lyon (1998) argues that because phonological decoding remains effortful, "developing reading fluency will be difficult, resulting in poor comprehension, limited learning, and little enjoyment". Meta-analytic evidence reinforces this counterevidence. Georgiou et al. (2021, 220) found that individuals with dyslexia have deficits in reading comprehension, indicating that higher-order processes are frequently impacted. Neuroimaging studies provide additional nuance. Dehaene (2009, 247) notes that frontal regions, including Broca's area, show unusually high activity during reading. These are involved in comprehension and semantic processing, suggesting that some readers strategically rely on meaning-based comprehension when decoding is weak. Shaywitz refers to readers who successfully use these compensatory strategies as "compensating dyslexics" (Shaywitz 1996, 102).

5.2 Memorizing instead of decoding (orthographic compensation)

Another frequently discussed compensatory strategy is memory or orthographic-based processing, in which readers memorize words rather than decode them phonologically. According to Shaywitz, Morris, and Shaywitz (2008, 460), "persistently poor adult readers appear to read words by memorization so that they are able to read familiar words but have difficulty reading unfamiliar words". However, recent research complicates this view. In a meta-analysis, Georgiou et al. (2021,

17) report a large orthographic knowledge deficit in individuals with dyslexia, suggesting that not all readers can reliably use memory-based strategies. These findings indicate that while some individuals with dyslexia may successfully memorize words, others fail to develop strong orthographic representation and continue to struggle. This suggests that compensatory strategies may be highly individual.

Neuroimaging studies provide insight into the mechanism behind memory-based compensation. Shaywitz, Morris, and Shaywitz (2008, 459) found that in poor readers, the left occipitotemporal word form area exhibits atypical connectivity with the right frontal regions associated with memory. Because the left-hemisphere regions are under-activated, some dyslexic readers use right-hemisphere regions, typically associated with face and object recognition, to support word recognition. They summarize this pattern by stating that dyslexic readers “appear to develop compensatory systems involving...the right hemisphere homologue of the left occipitotemporal word form area” (Shaywitz, Morris, and Shaywitz, 2008, 459).

Although compensatory strategies vary widely between individuals, they illustrate how dyslexic readers can adapt to their difficulties, instead of failing in academic settings. These strategies challenge the misconception that dyslexia is linked to low intelligence and instead highlight the fact that they possess advantages in other domains. The next section will examine these advantages.

6. Creativity in individuals with dyslexia

Individuals with dyslexia often face challenges in traditional academic settings, particularly with tasks involving reading and writing. These difficulties, however, do not indicate low intelligence or lack of motivation. Instead, they reflect different patterns of cognitive and neural processing. When viewed through this lens, it becomes evident that individuals with dyslexia frequently demonstrate strengths in domains that rely less on linguistic accuracy and more on global processing, pattern recognition, and flexible problem solving (Schneps 2015, 24).

Importantly, these strengths can be understood through compensating theories, which propose that individuals with dyslexia develop alternative strategies that allow them to succeed in complex tasks despite decoding difficulties. These strategies can enhance performance in certain domains, rather than being a mere product of impairment.

6.1 Literary Analysis

Research indicates that individuals with dyslexia often excel at perceiving patterns and recognizing “the big picture (both literally and figuratively) that others tend to miss” (Schneps 2015, 24). Even

when reading is slower, it could be argued that they engage in a deeper and more global form of analysis. This is because of the way their brain works. Neurobiological studies suggest that this ability may relate to increased activation in the right temporo-parietal region, which supports attention shifting (Krall et al. 2014). From a contemporary perspective, this broader attentional focus could develop as a response to slower linguistic decoding, meaning that dyslexic readers rely more on contextual cues, global coherence, and integrative reasoning. As a dyslexic reader myself, I can attest to this experience. While my analysis and comprehension are strong, my linguistic output often contains errors, reflecting the typical pattern of strong cognitive insight paired with reading and writing difficulties.

6.2 Problem-solving abilities

People with dyslexia indeed need more time when it comes to reading and writing. However, one could also argue that they can outperform non-dyslexic peers on tasks that rely on visual-spatial reasoning. For example, in 2003, Schneps (2015, 24) found that participants with dyslexia identified impossible figures in illustrations faster than non-dyslexics. In this experiment, participants were shown a series of illustrations, including a picture of a running fountain, and were asked to identify the impossible figures within similar drawings. This finding suggests that people with dyslexia have enhanced pattern recognition and global processing. In another experiment, 15 college students were asked to identify specific objects in blurry pictures of natural scenes. Schneps (2015, 24) found that dyslexic readers needed fewer repetitions to master the searches for blurred images, a skill with potential applications in medicine, such as comparing diagnostic X-rays. These findings demonstrate that dyslexic readers adopt a broader attentional scope, compensating for slower decoding. They do so by perceiving global relationships and contextual meaning more efficiently (Schneps 2015, 25). It could, therefore, be argued that this big-picture processing may contribute to stronger integrative and analytical thinking.

However, while these studies suggest advantages in creativity for individuals with dyslexia, others find no significant difference. Majeed, Hartanto, and Jacinth (2021, 11) conducted a meta-analysis and reported no significant difference in creativity scores between children with and without dyslexia “overall the meta-analytic results showed that there was no significant difference between groups with and without developmental dyslexia in their creativity scores”. While this could be true, it could also be argued that this discrepancy could be explained by age. The analysis displayed that adults with dyslexia showed more pronounced creative advantages than children. This aligns with the idea that compensatory strategies appear to develop over time, accumulating through practice and experience. This could suggest that there is a correlation between creativity

and age. As Majeed et al. (2021, 11) note, “the effect of adopting non-typical methods on creativity manifest only later in adult groups when sufficient practice has occurred, but not in younger children”.

7. Educational Implications

As said above, research shows that dyslexia is a neurological condition not caused by laziness or low intelligence. Individuals with dyslexia often develop compensatory strategies and may excel in certain domains. These insights can help schools identify dyslexic students earlier, apply effective interventions, and support their strengths to improve learning outcomes.

7.1 Early diagnosis and intervention

A major challenge is that many children and adults are diagnosed late. Shaywitz, Morris, and Shaywitz (2008, 458) note that “dyslexia may not present itself until later on in school, perhaps after fourth grade or so”. Late diagnosis can have emotional consequences, as Molly King recalls, “I’d been feeling like I was stupid and somehow worse than my classmates, and I didn’t understand why” (BBC 2023). Some students also develop compensatory strategies that mask their difficulties, further delaying recognition. Early intervention is therefore critical. Although children first learn to read in school, dyslexia can manifest earlier in other areas, such as oral language difficulties, delayed speech, mispronunciation (Shaywitz, Morris, and Shaywitz 2008, 461), or trouble learning the time and remembering facts (International Dyslexia Association Tennessee n.d.-a.). While these signs are not definitive, they may function as early identifiers for later reading difficulties.

Research shows that early intervention improves reading outcomes and supports healthy brain development. Evidence-based phonological programs for second and third-grade children have been shown to enhance reading ability and increase “activation both in left anterior (inferior frontal gyrus) and left posterior (middle temporal gyrus) brain regions” (Shaywitz, Morris, Shaywitz 2008, 459). These findings highlight the importance of early detection and structural support.

In light of this research, targeted screening programs could be implemented before or at school entry to support early identification. Monitoring children’s speech and language development may help teachers identify those at risk and ensure appropriate follow-up. I would suggest that such an approach could assist teachers and parents in recognizing reading difficulties at an earlier stage and providing timely intervention.

7.2 Supporting compensation strategies

Some individuals with dyslexia show strengths in areas such as problem solving, creativity, and pattern recognition (Schneps 2015, 24). While reading and writing must remain central in school, these strengths offer opportunities for more flexible teaching and assessments. Not all students thrive when success depends heavily on reading out loud or producing error-free written work. As Molly King describes “the prospect of reading out loud in class filled me with dread” (BBC 2023), and the IDA notes that many older students avoid reading and writing altogether (International Dyslexia Association Tennessee n.d.-a.). As an individual with dyslexia myself, I avoid writing in documents where others can see it. It can make me anxious just thinking about other people reading my text because I know it’s not good enough.

One way to fix this problem could be to incorporate more oral presentations, visual projects, and multimodal assignments alongside traditional written exams. Because some dyslexic students have stronger comprehension than decoding abilities, these formats allow them to demonstrate understanding without being held back by their reading difficulties. This creates a more balanced and inclusive assessment system. Another useful strategy could be to offer reading materials as audio. Evidence suggests that “text-to-speech technology can open up vast opportunities for accumulating all kinds of knowledge” (Dyslexia Help n.d.-b). Providing text in multiple formats may encourage more students to engage with the required material and reduce avoidance.

8. Limitations of current research

Although this article has provided a detailed explanation of what happens in the brain during reading, it is important to remember that this field of research is still developing. Our current understanding of the neurological mechanisms behind dyslexia is based on ongoing studies that continue to evolve as new imaging technologies and analytical methods emerge. As Hudson, High, and Otaiba (2007, 511) explain: “Without an experimental control group, we cannot be certain that the intervention caused the changes found in the brain activation because of so many other possible explanations”. Therefore, while the findings discussed above offer valuable understanding, I would suggest that we view this research as a valuable source of insight, not as complete or definitive. Neuroscience provides a powerful explanation, but it cannot yet capture the full complexity of human reading and learning.

9. Conclusion

This article has argued that dyslexia is a neurological learning difficulty rooted in measurable brain differences. Contemporary definitions emphasize that phonological processing is the core difficulty

and that dyslexia is unexpected in relation to an individual's cognitive ability and educational opportunities. Neuroscientific research further shows that dyslexic readers rely on alternative activation patterns, which explains why decoding and fluent reading are often slow and effortful.

However, the evidence presented in this article suggests that dyslexia should not solely be seen as a deficit. Many individuals develop compensatory strategies that draw on different cognitive pathways, and research suggests potential strengths in global processing, visual-spatial reasoning, and pattern recognition. While the evidence on creativity remains mixed, the article suggests that these strengths appear to emerge more clearly over time alongside compensatory development.

Understanding dyslexia through this neurocognitive lens allows for more accurate identification, reduces stigma, and emphasizes teaching practices that give all students a fair chance to excel. This article suggests that early diagnosis, structured phonological intervention, audiobooks, and alternative assessment formats, such as oral examinations, could be practical strategies to help students with dyslexia thrive academically and beyond.

Ultimately, dyslexia does not stem from low intelligence or lack of effort; it is a difference, not a limitation. As George said to the BBC, "Dyslexia is a superpower!" (BBC 2023).

Reference List

- BBC. 2023. "What's it like to have dyslexia?" BBC. October 2, 2023.
<https://www.bbc.co.uk/newsround/20783002>.
- Dehaene, Stanislas. 2009. *Reading in the Brain: The New Science of How We Read*. New York: Penguin Publishing Group.
- Dyslexia Help. n.d.-a. "Dyslexia Myths and Facts." Dyslexia Help, University of Michigan. Accessed December 15, 2025, <https://dyslexiahelp.umich.edu/dyslexics/learn-about-dyslexia/what-is-dyslexia/dyslexia-myths-and-facts/>.
- Dyslexia Help. n.d.-b. "Keep 'Em Reading: The Importance of Audiobooks for Dyslexics." Dyslexia Help, University of Michigan. Accessed January 1, 2026.
<https://dyslexiahelp.umich.edu/ask-dr-pierson/keep-em-reading/>.
- Georgiou, G.K., Dalia Martinez, Ana Paula Alves Vieira, Andrea Antoniuk, Sandra Romero, and Kan Guo. 2021. "A meta-analytic review of comprehension deficits in students with dyslexia." *Annals of Dyslexia* 72: 204-248. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11881-021-00244-y>.
- Georgiou, G.K., Dalia Martinez, Ana Paula Alves Vieira, and Kan Guo. 2021. "Is orthographic knowledge a strength or a weakness in individuals with dyslexia? Evidence from a meta-analysis." *Annals of Dyslexia* 71: 5-27. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11881-021-00220-6>.
- Houston, Suzanne M., Catherine Lebel, Tamir Katzir, Franklin R. Manis, Erica Kan, Genevieve G. Rodriguez, and Elizabeth R. Sowell. 2014. "Reading skill and structural brain development." *NeuroReport* 25(5): 347-352.
<https://doi.org/10.1097/WNR.0000000000000121>.
- Hudson, Roxanne, F., Leslie High and Stephanie Al Otaiba. 2007. "Dyslexia and the Brain: What Does Current Research Tell Us?" *The Reading Teacher* 60(6): 506-15.
https://doi.org/10.1598/RT.60.6.1open_in_new.
- International Dyslexia Association Tennessee. n.d.-a. "Common Characteristics of Dyslexia." International Dyslexia Association Tennessee. Accessed December 20, 2025.
<https://tn.dyslexiaida.org/common-signs-of-dyslexia/>.
- International Dyslexia Association. n.d.-b. "Social and Emotional Problems Related to Dyslexia." International Dyslexia Association. Accessed December 19, 2025.
<https://dyslexiaida.org/social-emotional/>.
- Krall, S. C., C. Rottschy, E. Oberwelland, D. Bzdok, P. T. Fox, S. B. Eickhoff, G. R. Fink, and K. Konrad. 2014. "The role of the right temporoparietal junction in attention and social interaction as revealed by ALE meta-analysis." *Brain Structure and Function* 20: 587-604.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00429-014-0803-z>.

- Lyon, G. R. 1998. "Why Reading is Not a Natural Process." *Educational Leadership* 55 (6).
Accessed December 24, 2025. <https://www.ascd.org/el/articles/why-reading-is-not-a-natural-process>.
- Lyon, G.R., Sally E. Shaywitz and Bennet A. Shaywitz. 2003. "A Definition of Dyslexia." *Annals of Dyslexia* 53 (1): 1-14. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11881-003-0001-9>.
- Majeed, Nadyanna M., Andree Hartanto and Jacinth J. Tan. 2021. "Developmental dyslexia and creativity: A meta-analysis." *Dyslexia* 27 (2): 187-203. <https://doi.org/10.1002/dys.1677>.
- Schneps, Matthew H. 2015. "The Advantages of Dyslexia" *Scientific American Mind* 26 (1): 24-25.
<https://www.jstor.org/stable/24946399>.
- Shaywitz, Sally E. 1996. "Dyslexia." *Scientific American Mind* 275 (5): 98-104.
<http://www.jstor.org/stable/24993452>.
- Shaywitz, Sally E., Robin Morris and Bennet E. Shaywitz. 2008. "The Education of Dyslexic Children from Childhood to Young Adulthood." *Annual Review of Psychology* 59: 451-475.
<https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.psych.59.103006.093633>.
- Snyder, Hannah. 2019. "Literature review as a research methodology: An overview and guidelines." *Journal of Business Research* 104: 333-339.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2019.07.039>.
- Sousa, David A. 2014. *How the Brain Learns to Read*. 2nd edition. Corwin A SAGE company.
- Stein, John. 2018. "What is developmental Dyslexia?" *Brain Science* 8 (2).
<https://doi.org/10.3390/brainsci8020026>.
- Williams, Keir. 2024. "Compensating Dyslexia." Dyslexia UK.
<https://www.dyslexiauk.co.uk/compensating-dyslexia/>.
- Wiseheart, Rebecca, Lorri J. Altmann, Heeyoung Park Linda J. Lombardino. 2009. "Sentence comprehension in Young Adults with Developmental Dyslexia." *Annals of Dyslexia* 59 (2): 151-67. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11881-009-0028-7>.